



# RESPONSIBLE MINERALS ASSURANCE PROCESS ASSESSMENT REPORT

The flagship program of the RMI, the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process (RMAP), formerly the Conflict-Free Site Program (CFSP), takes a unique approach to helping companies make informed choices about responsibly sourced minerals in their supply chains. Focusing on a “pinch point” (a point with relatively few actors) in the global metals supply chain, the RMAP uses an independent third-party assessment of facility/refiner management systems and sourcing practices to validate conformance with RMAP protocols and current global standards. The assessment employs a risk-based approach to validate facilities' company level management processes for responsible mineral procurement. Companies can then use this information to inform their sourcing choices. For more information, please visit: [www.responsiblemineralsinitiative.org](http://www.responsiblemineralsinitiative.org).

## I. ASSESSMENT SCOPE

<b>Facility Name</b>	PT Halmahera Persada Lygend
<b>CID Number</b>	CID004802, CID004453
<b>Facility Address</b>	Desa Kawasi, Kecamatan Obi Kabupaten Halmahera Selatan, Maluku Utara, 97792 Indonesia
<b>Assessment Date(s)</b>	06/13/2024 - 06/15/2024
<b>Assessment Type</b>	Initial Assessment
<b>Assessed Material</b>	Cobalt   Nickel
<b>Sourcing from High-Risk Supply Chains</b>	No
<b>Assessment Cycle</b>	1 year   1 year
<b>Assessment Period</b>	05/01/2023 - 04/30/2024
<b>Assessment Company</b>	SCS

## II. ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES

The objective of the assessment is to assess the facility’s level of conformance with the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process Cobalt | Due Diligence Standard 2021 | and Joint Due Diligence (JDD) Standard for Nickel 2021.

Indicate which operations take place at the site and are under the same management control	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mining
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blending
<input type="checkbox"/>	Solvent Extraction and electrowinning
<input type="checkbox"/>	Smelting
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Refining



<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify)
--------------------------	------------------------

### III. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The assessment consisted of collecting and reviewing objective evidence including documentation, management and employee interviews, and other observations demonstrating that the facility/refiner's due diligence management system conforms, in all material aspects, to the requirements of the applicable Standard.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Assessment Results:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The assessment was conducted in accordance with ISO19001:2011 Standard, taking into account the guidance provided by the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process. The assessor verified the scope, selected samples, and gathered objective evidence through documentation review, interviews, and visual observations.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The assessor found that the facility's due diligence system are in conformance, in all material aspects, with the requirements of the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process Tin and Tantalum / Tungsten / Gold Standard of 2017, Cobalt Standard of 2021, Mica Standard of 2021, Joint Due Diligence Standard for Copper, Lead, Nickel and Zinc of 2021, Global Responsible Sourcing Due Diligence Standard for Mineral Supply Chains All Minerals of 2021, and the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The assessor identified material non-conformance(s) between the facility's systems, processes and practices and the requirements of the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process Tin and Tantalum / Tungsten / Gold Standard of 2017, Cobalt Standard of 2021, or Global Responsible Sourcing Due Diligence Standard for Mineral Supply Chains All Minerals of 2021 and the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas.  Material non-conformance(s) relate to:
Assessor Statements:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The information provided by the facility is true and accurate to the best knowledge of the Assessor(s) preparing the report.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The findings are based on verified objective evidence relevant to the time period for the assessment.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The Assessor(s) have acted in a manner deemed ethical, truthful, accurate, professional, independent and objective.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The Assessor(s) are properly qualified to carry out the assessment.
<input type="checkbox"/>	There were no limitations to this assessment.  Time constraint for JDD assessment for 1 assessor.